

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.   | FILING DATE     | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|---|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| 10/789,287  | 02/27/2004      | Morgan T. Johnson    | ML.P007-8           | 8191            |
| 41536   | 7590 08/25/2004 |                      | EXAM                | INER            |
| RAYMOND J. WERNER                                       |                 |                      | ARBES, CARL J       |                 |
| 2056 NW ALOCLEK DRIVE, SUITE 314<br>HILLSBORO, OR 97124 |                 | 314                  | ART UNIT PAPER NUMB |                 |
|   | ,               | •                    | 3729                |                 |

DATE MAILED: 08/25/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   |   | 77  |  |  |  |  |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|
|   | Application No.   | Applicant(s)  |  |  |  |  |
|   | 10/789,287  | JOHNSON, MORGAN T.  |  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary   | Examiner  | Art Unit  |  |  |  |  |
| •   | C. J. Arbes   | 3729  |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply                 | 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim   | nely filed  |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute,</li> <li>Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).</li> </ul> | vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE | the mailing date of this communication.  D (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |  |  |
| Status  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>27 February 2004</u> .  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ This action is non-final.   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or   | election requirement.   |   |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa   | aminer. Note the attached Office  | Action or form PTO-152.                                       |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.      Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| application from the International Bureau   | ·   | a iii iiio National Stage                                     |  |  |  |  |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of   |   | d.  |  |  |  |  |
|   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   | 4) Interview Summary (  | PTO-413)  |  |  |  |  |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   | Paper No(s)/Mail Dai  | e   |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  | 5)  Notice of Informal Pa   | tent Application (PTO-152)                                    |  |  |  |  |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Application/Control Number: 10/789,287

Art Unit: 3729

Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

It is far from clear why Applicants recite *inter alia* ... a first plurality of connector slices. When Applicants do not recite ... a second plurality of connector slices or the like. Moreover it is not clear or certain what Applicants intend by the language ... connector slices in vertical alignment ... (in Claim 1) For example What is the "first plurality of connector slices" aligned with?

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 2, 5-12, 18 and 20 are further rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Grabbe et al.

Grabbe et al teach electrical connection for shielded coaxial conductors. An housing assembly comprising a first plurality of connector slices (at 62 and 82' (in Fig 10)), stacking the connector slices in vertical alignment with other connector slices such that the stack has a predetermined height wherein each of the through-holes (e.g. near 2 and 2') is adapted to receive a conductor.

Claims 3, 4, 13-17 and 19 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/789,287

Art Unit: 3729

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to C. J. Arbes at

telephone number (703)308-1857.

CARL J. ARBES PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 3